

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, BENGALURU

No.2, Ground Floor, Technical Education Building, Palace Road, Bengaluru-560001
Ph: 080-22371011, Email- plabangalore@gmail.com

Visit Our Website: <http://kslsa.kar.nic.in/PLA>.

DIARY

Date: 09.12.2020

Sl. No	Case Number	Summary of Proceedings	Next Date of Hearing
ICICI BANK LIMITED- BOMMANAHALLI BRANCH			
1	203/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted. For return of Notice of the Respondent.	18.01.2021
2	204/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted. For return of Notice of the Respondent.	18.01.2021
3	205/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted. For return of Notice of the Respondent.	18.01.2021
4	206/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted. For return of Notice of the Respondent.	18.01.2021
5	207/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted. For return of Notice of the Respondent.	18.01.2021
6	209/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.	18.01.2021

		For return of Notice of the Respondent.	
7	210/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	18.01.2021
8	248/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to file Affidavit and Original documents and also to address their arguments. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement and they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation. They are not showing any interest in participating in the proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, the evidence and arguments of the Respondents-1 to 3 are taken as nil.</p> <p>Records perused. The following judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of Rs.3,27,768.62 (Rupees Three Lakhs Twenty Seven Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Eight and Sixty Two Paise only) with interest at the rate of Rs.15% p.a., from 15-08-2019 till the date of realization and also Rs.2,500/- towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	

9	249/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to file Affidavit and Original documents and also to address their arguments. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement and they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation. They are not showing any interest in participating in the proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, the evidence and arguments of the Respondents-1 to 3 are taken as nil.</p> <p>Records perused. The following judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of Rs.4,50,252/- (Rupees Four Lakhs Fifty Thousand Two Hundred and Fifty Two only) with interest at the rate of Rs.16% p.a., from 15-08-2019 till the date of realization and also Rs.2,500/- towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
10	250/2019	<p>Respondent-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and ultimate chance.</p>	20.01.2021
11	251/2019	<p>Respondent-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and ultimate chance.</p>	20.01.2021
12	253/2019	<p>Respondent-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and ultimate chance.</p>	20.01.2021

13	254/2019	<p>Respondent-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and ultimate chance.</p>	20.01.2021
14	367/2019	<p>Respondents-2 & 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent-1 through RPAD and SMS on the ground that the earlier Notice did not return. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-1.</p>	18.01.2021
15	368/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	18.01.2021
16	369/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	18.01.2021
17	371/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	18.01.2021
18	372/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	18.01.2021
19	373/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	18.01.2021

20	387/2019	<p>Respondent-3 is absent and she did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 & 2.</p>	18.01.2021
21	388/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	18.01.2021
22	393/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	18.01.2021
23	416/2019	<p>Respondents-2 & 3 are absent and they did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent-1 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-1.</p>	18.01.2021

24	417/2019	<p>Respondents-1 & 2 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent-3 through RPAD and SMS on the ground that the earlier Notice did not return Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-3.</p>	18.01.2021
25	421/2019	<p>Respondents-1 & 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent-2 through RPAD and SMS on the ground that the earlier Notice did not return Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-2.</p>	18.01.2021
26	422/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	18.01.2021
27	518/2019	<p>Respondent-1 is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-2 & 3 through RPAD and SMS on the ground that the earlier Notices did not return Heard. Permitted.</p>	18.01.2021

		For return of Notices of the Respondents-2 & 3.	
28	519/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	18.01.2021
29	520/2019	<p>Respondent-3 is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through RPAD and SMS on the ground that the earlier Notices did not return Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 & 2.</p>	18.01.2021
30	521/2019	<p>Respondents-1 & 2 are absent and they did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent-3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-3.</p>	18.01.2021
31	522/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	18.01.2021

32	523/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	18.01.2021
33	524/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	18.01.2021

34	532/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	18.01.2021
35	533/2019	<p>Respondent-3 is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 & 2.</p>	18.01.2021
36	537/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 4 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 4.</p>	18.01.2021
37	540/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written</p>	18.01.2021

		<p>Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
38	541/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondents-1 to 3 are absent and they did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose settlement through conciliation.</p> <p>The Petitioner is directed to send the proposal of settlement to the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	18.01.2021
39	542/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	20.01.2021
40	543/2019	<p>Respondent-3 is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through RPA and SMS.</p>	18.01.2021

		<p>Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 & 2.</p>	
41	544/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	20.01.2021
42	545/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p>	20.01.2021

		For Affidavit and production of original documents.	
43	546/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	20.01.2021
44	547/2019	<p>Respondent-1 is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-2 & 3 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-2 & 3.</p>	18.01.2021
45	548/2019	<p>Respondent-3 is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 & 2.</p>	18.01.2021
46	550/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appear to</p>	20.01.2021

		<p>be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents, call on 20.01.2021.</p>	
--	--	---	--

Prepared by: Shivaleela M.G. - *SBK*

Verified by: Manjunatha K.N. *[Signature]*

Srinath R.S. - *A*

**BY ORDER OF
The Chairman, Permanent Lok Adalat**

Srinath
09/12/2021
Sheristedar (I/c)