

## PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, BENGALURU

No.2, Ground Floor, Technical Education Building, Palace Road, Bengaluru-560001  
Ph: 080-22371011, Email- [plabangalore@gmail.com](mailto:plabangalore@gmail.com)

Visit Our Website: <http://kslsa.kar.nic.in/PLA>.

### DIARY

Date: 13.01.2021

Sl. No	Case Number	Summary of Proceedings	Next Date of Hearing
<b><u>ICICI BANK LIMITED – M.G. ROAD BRANCH</u></b>			
1	60/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner and the Respondent.  For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through Conciliation as last and final chance.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
2	489/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.  Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.  For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
3	492/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner and the Respondent.  For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through Conciliation as last and final chance.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
<b><u>INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK – MENAKUNTE BRANCH</u></b>			
4	363/2019	Petitioner and the Respondent are present.  Conciliation held in part.  The Respondent paid Rs.2,500/- to the Petitioner towards part of Petition claim.  For further conciliation.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
5	364/2019	Respondent is absent.  Petitioner is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.  For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.	<b>18.02.2021</b>

6	365/2019	<p>Petitioner is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 25% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
7	512/2019	<p>Petitioner is present and filed Memo seeking permission to withdraw the case as settled out of court. Heard. Records Perused. Permitted.</p> <p>The Petition is dismissed as settled out of court.</p>	
8	514/2019	<p>Petitioner is present. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
9	516/2019	<p>Respondent is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
10	86/2020	<p>Petitioner is present. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>

<b>ICICI BANK LIMITED – BOMMANAHALLI BRANCH</b>			
11	505/2019	<p>Respondents-1 &amp; 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent-2 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-2.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
12	506/2019	<p>Respondents-2 &amp; 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice by RPAD to the Respondent-1. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-1.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
13	507/2019	<p>Respondents-1 &amp; 3 are absent and they did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent-2 through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-2.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
14	508/2019	<p>Respondents-1 &amp; 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice by RPAD to the Respondent-2. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent-2.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
15	54/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
16	55/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
17	56/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>

		For return of Notice of the Respondent.	
18	57/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondent.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
19	58/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondent.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
20	59/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondent.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
21	60/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondent.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
22	61/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondent.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
23	62/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondent.	<b>18.02.2021</b>
24	63/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.  The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did	<b>18.02.2021</b>

		<p>not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
25	135/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
26	136/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
27	137/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD on the ground that previous Notice not returned. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
28	138/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>




		For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.	
29	139/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
30	140/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
31	141/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
32	142/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>

		For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.	
33	143/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
34	144/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
35	145/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
36	147/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>

		<p>circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
37	148/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
38	149/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
39	150/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>
40	151/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present, filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent and prayed permission for issue of fresh Notice to the</p>	<b>18.02.2021</b>



		Respondent to the new address through RPAD, E-mail and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, E-mail and SMS as prayed for.  For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.	
<b>INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK – HSR LAYOUT BRANCH</b>			
41	251/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.  For return of Notice of the Respondent as last chance.	<b>18.02.2021</b>

Prepared by: Shivaleela M.G. -   
Verified by: Manjunatha K.N. -   
Srinath R.S. - 

**BY ORDER OF  
The Chairman, Permanent Lok Adalat**

  
**Sheristedar (I/c)**