

## PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, BENGALURU

No.2, Ground Floor, Technical Education Building, Palace Road, Bengaluru-560001  
Ph: 080-22371011, Email- [plabangalore@gmail.com](mailto:plabangalore@gmail.com)

Visit Our Website: <http://kslsa.kar.nic.in/PLA>.

### DIARY

Date: 16.03.2021

Sl. No	Case Number	Summary of Proceedings	Next Date of Hearing
<b>STATE BANK OF INDIA – RACPC, MALLESHWARAM BRANCH</b>			
1	502/2018	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondents-1 & 2.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
2	504/2018	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondents-1 & 2.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
3	506/2018	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondents-1 & 2.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
4	509/2018	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondents-1 & 2.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
5	121/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondents-1 & 2.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
6	122/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondents-1 & 2.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
7	125/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.	<b>20.04.2021</b>

		For return of Notice of the Respondents-1 & 2.	
8	129/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notice of the Respondents-1 & 2.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
9	143/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through RPAD and SMS on the ground that the earlier Notice was not returned. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
10	145/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
11	149/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 to 3 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
12	155/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS. Heard. Permitted.  For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 & 2.	<b>20.04.2021</b>
<b><u>ICICI BANK LIMITED – BOMMANAHALLI BRANCH</u></b>			
13	341/2015	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.  For reporting publication, production of copy of news paper and bill and also for appearance of the Respondents-1 to 3 as last and ultimate chance.	<b>08.04.2021</b>
14	86/2019	Respondent is absent.  Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. No valid grounds urged. In spite of granting sufficient time, the Petitioner is not coming forward to file Affidavit and documents. No grounds for adjournment. However, in the interest of justice, adjourned as last chance, but on payment of cost of Rs.250/- payable to Permanent Lok Adalat.  For deposit of cost and filing Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.	<b>07.04.2021</b>

15	172/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For reporting publication, production of copy of news paper and bill and also for appearance of the Respondents-1 to 3 as last and ultimate chance.</p>	<b>08.04.2021</b>
16	174/2019	<p>Respondent-3 is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For reporting publication, production of copy of news paper and bill and also for appearance of the Respondents-1 &amp; 2 as last and ultimate chance.</p>	<b>08.04.2021</b>
17	179/2019	<p>Respondent is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. No valid grounds urged. In spite of granting sufficient time, the Petitioner is not coming forward to file Affidavit and documents. No grounds for adjournment. However, in the interest of justice, adjourned as last chance, but on payment of cost of Rs.250/- payable to Permanent Lok Adalat.</p> <p>For deposit of cost and filing Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.</p>	<b>07.04.2021</b>
18	180/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For reporting publication, production of copy of news paper and bill and also for appearance of the Respondent as last and ultimate chance.</p>	<b>08.04.2021</b>
19	183/2019	<p>Respondent is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. No valid grounds urged. In spite of granting sufficient time, the Petitioner is not coming forward to file Affidavit and documents. No grounds for adjournment. However, in the interest of justice, adjourned as last chance, but on payment of cost of Rs.250/- payable to Permanent Lok Adalat.</p> <p>For deposit of cost and filing Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.</p>	<b>07.04.2021</b>
20	200/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition</b></p>	<b>21.04.2021</b>

		<p><b>claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, she did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
21	238/2019	<p>Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavits and production of original documents as last and final chance.</p>	<b>07.04.2021</b>
22	462/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>21.04.2021</b>
23	472/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition</b></p>	<b>21.04.2021</b>

		<p><b>claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
24	528/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to address their arguments. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement, they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation and they also did not adduce any evidence. They are not showing any interest in participating in the proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, the argument of the Respondents-1 to 3 is taken as nil.</p> <p>Records perused. The following judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of <b>Rs.3,38,582/- (Rupees Three Lakhs Thirty Eight Thousand Five Hundred and Eighty Two Only)</b> with interest at the rate of Rs.14% p.a., from <b>17-09-2019</b> till the date of realization and also <b>Rs.2,000/-</b> towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
25	535/2019	<p>Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and final chance.</p>	<b>07.04.2021</b>
26	538/2019	<p>Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p>	<b>07.04.2021</b>

		For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and final chance.	
27	8/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>21.04.2021</b>
28	12/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>21.04.2021</b>
29	16/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p>	<b>21.04.2021</b>

		<p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
<b>STATE BANK OF INDIA – SMECC, KORAMANGALA BRANCH</b>			
30	128/2019	<p>Respondents-1 &amp; 2 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as final ultimate chance.</p>	<b>12.04.2021</b>
31	130/2019	<p>Respondents-1 &amp; 2 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as final ultimate chance.</p>	<b>12.04.2021</b>
32	87/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.</p>	<b>12.04.2021</b>
33	88/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.</p>	<b>12.04.2021</b>
34	89/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.</p>	<b>12.04.2021</b>

<b><u>SYNDICATE BANK – PALACE GUTTAHALLI BRANCH</u></b>			
35	140/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent.</p>	<b>08.04.2021</b>
36	142/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through SMS. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent.</p>	<b>08.04.2021</b>
<b><u>SYNDICATE BANK – LAGGERE BRANCH</u></b>			
37	21/2020	<p>Respondents-1 &amp; 2 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.</p>	<b>06.04.2021</b>
38	22/2020	<p>Respondent is absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.</p>	<b>06.04.2021</b>
<b><u>S. SATHIYA BAMA – VS-POST MASTER-HAL POST OFFICE</u></b>			
39	249/2020	<p>Petitioner is present. Respondents-1 &amp; 2, represented by Sri. Sathish, PRI and their Counsel are present. Conciliation held. Dispute settled. Accordingly, Joint Memo filed. Contents of the Joint Memo are accepted as true and correct by both the parties when read over and explained to them. Heard both the sides. Settlement is genuine and voluntary. Hence, it is accepted. In terms of settlement, the Petition is allowed and Award is passed in terms of Joint Memo.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>JOINT MEMO</u></b></p> <p>As per conciliation held between the Petitioner and the Respondents-1 &amp; 2 and advise made before this Permanent Lok Adalat in the presences of their Advocate, the dispute is settled as under:</p> <p>1. The Respondents-1 &amp; 2 shall pay the Petitioner, on or before <b>10.04.2021</b>, a sum of <b>Rs.36,220/-</b> with interest @ <b>Rs.4% p.a.</b>, on that amount from <b>23.04.2020</b> till <b>10.04.2021</b>, in full and final</p>	



		<p>settlement of the Petition claim.</p> <p>2. In default of payment of amount as agreed above, the Respondents-1 &amp; 2 shall pay interest @ <b>Rs.6.6%p.a.</b>, on <b>Rs.36,220/-</b> from <b>23.04.2020</b> till date realization of the settlement amount.</p> <p>3. Both the parties shall bear their own costs of this dispute.</p>	
<b><u>THE FEDERAL BANK LIMITED – WIPRO KORAMANGALA BRANCH</u></b>			
40	264/2020	<p>Respondents-2 to 4 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For fresh steps against the Respondent-1 as last and final chance.</p>	<b>08.04.2021</b>

Prepared by: Shivaleela M.G. - 

Verified by: Manjunatha K.N. 

**BY ORDER OF  
The Chairman, Permanent Lok Adalat**

  
**Sheristedar (I/c)**