## PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, BENGALURU

No.2, Ground Floor, Technical Education Building, Palace Road, Bengaluru-560001 Ph: 080-22371011, Email-plabangalore@gmail.com

Visit Our Website: http//kslsa.kar.nic.in/PLA.

## DIARY

Date: 18.02.2021

SI. No	Case Number	Summary of Proceedings	Next Date of Hearing		
ICIO	ICICI BANK LIMITED- M.G.ROAD BRANCH				
1	60/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and filed Memo with SMS intimation regarding proposal of settlement. He is also ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.	30.03.2021		
		The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Notice for settlement by offering to give monthly installments for repayment of the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.			
		For Affidavit and production of original documents.			
2	489/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and filed Memo with SMS intimation regarding proposal of settlement. He is also ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.	30.03.2021		
		The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give monthly installments for repayment of the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did			

		<u>↓</u>	
		not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit. For Affidavit and production of original documents.	
3	492/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and filed Memo with SMS intimation regarding proposal of settlement. He is also ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.  The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Notice for settlement by offering to give monthly	30.03.2021
		installments for repayment of the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.  For Affidavit and production of original documents.	
AXI	S BANK LIMI	TED- LALBAGH ROAD BRANCH	
4	163/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Inspite of granting sufficient opportunity, the Petitioner did not come forward to submit on the question of limitation. It is a matter of 2019. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, on the basis of available material on record, this Adalat proceeded to pass appropriate order.  Records perused. Original petition of this dispute was dismissed on 25.10.2019 for non-prosecution. The Petitioner has filed Application under Order IX Rule 9 of the CPC seeking restoration of the	

petition on 17.02.2020 i.e., after 112 days of dismissal of the petition. But, such an application	
has to be filed within 30 days from the date of dismissal order under Article 122 of the Limitation Act, 1963. The Petitioner has not even sought for condonation of delay in filing the Application after expiry of prescribed period. The Petitioner has not come forward to give any explanation in this regard. Thus, it is clear that the Application filed for restoration is hopelessly barred by time and liable to be rejected. In the result, the following order is passed:	
ORDER	
Restoration Application filed by the Petitioner on 17.02.2020 is rejected as barred by time.	
INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK- MEENAKUNTE BRANCH	
5 363/2019 Petitioner is present. Respondent is absent. <b>25</b>	5.03.2021
For Appearance of both the parties for further conciliation.	
6 364/2019 Respondent is absent. 25	5.03.2021
Petitioner is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.	
For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and final chance.	
7 365/2019 Respondent is absent. 25	5.03.2021
Petitioner is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.	
For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and final chance.	
8 514/2019 Petitioner is present. 25	5.03.2021
Respondent is absent.	
For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation as last chance.	
9 516/2019 Respondent is absent. <b>25</b>	5.03.2021
9 516/2019 Respondent is absent.  Petitioner is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.	5.03.2021

10	86/2020	Petitioner is present.	25.03.2021
		Respondent is absent.	
		For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation as last chance.	
ICIO	I BANK LIMI	TED- BOMMANAHALLI BRANCH	
11	505/2019	Respondents-1 & 3 are absent.	30.03.2021
		No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent-2.	
12	506/2019	Respondents-2 & 3 are absent.	30.03.2021
		No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Service on the Respondent-1 is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondent-1 is absent.	
		For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondent-1.	
13	507/2019	Respondents-1 & 3 are absent.	30.03.2021
		No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	
		For return of Notice of the Respondent-2 as last chance.	
14	508/2019	Respondents-1 & 3 are absent.	30.03.2021
		No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent-2.	
15	54/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	30.03.2021
	w	For return of Notice issued to the 2 <sup>nd</sup> address of the Respondent.	
16	55/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	30.03.2021
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	
17	56/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	30.03.2021
		For return of Notice of the Respondent as last chance.	
18	57/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	30.03.2021

	I	E. C. ale above and the B. ale ale	
		For fresh steps against the Respondent.	
19	58/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	30.03.2021
		Service on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> address of the Respondent is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondent is absent.	
		For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondent.	
20	59/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	30.03.2021
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	
21	60/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	30.03.2021
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	
22	61/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	30.03.2021
		Service on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> address of the Respondent is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondent is absent.	
		For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondent.	
23	62/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	30.03.2021
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	
24	63/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.	02.03.2021
		For Affidavit and production of original documents.	
25	135/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present. Service on the Respondent is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondent is absent.	30.03.2021
		For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondent.	
26	136/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present.	30.03.2021
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	
27	137/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present.	30.03.2021
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	

28	138/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present.	30.03.2021
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	
29	139/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.  The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It	30.03.2021
		appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit. For Affidavit and production of original documents.	
30	140/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted. For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.	02.03.2021
31	141/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present.	30.03.2021
		For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	
32	142/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present.	30.03.2021
		As the Respondent did not claim the notice though intimation delivered by the Postman, service on him is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondent is absent.  For Appearance and Written Statement of the	
		Respondent.	
33	143/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.	30.03.2021

		The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by <b>offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim.</b> Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit. For Affidavit and production of original documents.	
34	144/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.  For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.	02.03.2021
35	145/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present.  For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	30.03.2021
36	147/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.  For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.	02.03.2021
37	148/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present.  For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance as last chance.	30.03.2021
38	149/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present.  For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	30.03.2021
39	150/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present.  For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.	30.03.2021

40	151/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present. Service on the Respondent is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondent is absent.  For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondent.	30.03.2021
IND	IAN OVERSEA	AS BANK- HSR LAYOUT BRANCH	
41	251/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.  For return of Notice of the Respondent or fresh steps as last and final chance.	30.03.2021
MRS. MANJU SINHA V/S. UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD AND ANO			ID ANOTHER
42	263/2020	No representation on behalf of both the parties.  For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through Conciliation as last chance.	25.02.2021

Prepared by: Srinath R.S.-

Verified by: Manjunatha K.N.-

Shivaleela M.G.-

BY ORDER OF The Chairman, Permanent Lok Adalat

Sheristedar (I/c)