

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, BENGALURU

No.2, Ground Floor, Technical Education Building, Palace Road, Bengaluru-560001

Ph: 080-22371011, Email- plabangalore@gmail.com

Visit Our Website: <http://kslsa.kar.nic.in/PLA>.

DIARY

Date: 18.03.2021

Sl. No	Case Number	Summary of Proceedings	Next Date of Hearing
<u>SYNDICATE BANK – VIJAYANGAR BRANCH</u>			
1	412/2018	<p>Petitioner is present and prayed time on the ground that he wants to file Additional Affidavit as there are debit and credit transactions. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For filing Additional Affidavit and documents, if any.</p>	15.04.2021
<u>ICICI BANK LIMITED – BOMMANAHALLI BRANCH</u>			
2	349/2019	<p>Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.</p>	22.04.2021
3	350/2019	<p>Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.</p>	22.04.2021
4	352/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. The Petitioner's Counsel filed Affidavit of the Petitioner and produced original documents for verification. Documents verified and returned to the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to produce Affidavit and documents. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement and they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation. They are not showing any interest in participating in the proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment.</p>	

		<p>Hence, the evidence of the Respondents-1 to 3 is taken as nil.</p> <p>Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel. Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of Rs.2,83,574.90 (Rupees Two Lakhs Eighty Three Thousand Five Hundred Seventy Four and Ninety Only) with interest at the rate of Rs.14% p.a., from 24-08-2019 till the date of realization and also Rs.2,000/- towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
5	358/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. The Petitioner's Counsel filed Affidavit of the Petitioner and produced original documents for verification. Documents verified and returned to the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to produce Affidavit and documents. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement and they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation. They are not showing any interest in participating in the proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, the evidence of the Respondents-1 to 3 is taken as nil.</p> <p>Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel. Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of Rs.5,46,958.12 (Rupees Five Lakhs Forty Six Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Eight and Twelve Paise Only) with interest at the rate of Rs.15% p.a., from 20-08-2019 till the date of realization and also Rs.3,500/- towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	

6	419/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. The Petitioner's Counsel filed Affidavit of the Petitioner and produced original documents for verification. Documents verified and returned to the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to produce Affidavit and documents. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement and they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation. They are not showing any interest in participating in the proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, the evidence of the Respondents-1 to 3 is taken as nil.</p> <p>Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel. Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of Rs.2,02,729/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Two Thousand Seven Hundred and Twenty Nine Only) with interest at the rate of Rs.14% p.a., from 18-09-2019 till the date of realization and also Rs.1,500/- towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
7	420/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. The Petitioner's Counsel filed Affidavit of the Petitioner and produced original documents for verification. Documents verified and returned to the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to produce Affidavit and documents. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement and they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation. They are not showing any interest in participating in the proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, the evidence of the Respondents-1 to 3 is taken as nil.</p>	

		<p>Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel. Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of Rs.2,06,163/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Six Thousand One Hundred and Sixty Three Only) with interest at the rate of Rs.14% p.a., from 18-09-2019 till the date of realization and also Rs.1,500/- towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
8	40/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	22.04.2021
9	43/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through</p>	22.04.2021

		<p>conciliation: As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
10	128/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last chance.</p>	22.04.2021
11	129/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of both the parties.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	22.04.2021
12	130/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For reporting about the service of Notice sent under SMS and E-mail to the Respondent as last chance.</p>	22.04.2021
13	131/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For reporting about the service of Notice sent under SMS and E-mail to the Respondent as last chance.</p>	22.04.2021

14	132/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For reporting about the service of Notice sent under SMS and E-mail to the Respondent as last chance.	22.04.2021
15	133/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement. For appearance of both the parties for the Purpose of settlement through conciliation.	22.04.2021
16	134/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For reporting about the service of Notice sent under SMS and E-mail to the Respondent as last chance.	22.04.2021
17	282/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present. Service on the Respondents-1 to 3 is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondents-1 to 3.	22.04.2021
18	283/2020	Petitioner's counsel present. In spite of delivering intimation by the Postman, the Respondents-2 & 3 did not claim the notice. Hence, Service on them is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondents-2 & 3 are absent. For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondents-2 & 3 and for fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent-1.	22.04.2021
19	284/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted. For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondents-1 to 3.	22.04.2021
20	285/2020	Petitioner's Counsel is present. Service on the Respondents-1 to 3 is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondents-1 to 3.	22.04.2021

21	286/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present.</p> <p>Service on the Respondents-1 to 3 is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	22.04.2021
22	287/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present.</p> <p>Service on the Respondents-1 to 3 is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	22.04.2021
23	288/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present.</p> <p>Service on the Respondents-1 to 3 is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	22.04.2021
24	289/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present.</p> <p>Service on the Respondents-1 to 3 is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	22.04.2021
25	290/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel present.</p> <p>Service on the Respondents-1 & 3 is held sufficient. As the Respondent-2 has refused the Notice, Service on her also is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	22.04.2021
26	291/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondents-1 to 3.</p>	22.04.2021

27	292/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time for return of Notice. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 to 3 and their appearance as last chance.</p>	22.04.2021
<u>MANIPAL HOSPITAL - OLD AIRPORT ROAD</u>			
28	38/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of both the parties.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 & 2 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 & 2 are not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	22.04.2021
<u>STATE BANK OF INDIA - SMECC, KORAMANGALA BRANCH</u>			
29	112/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time for fresh steps. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.</p>	15.04.2021
30	113/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-</p>	20.04.2021

		<p>C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
31	114/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present.</p> <p>Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For Appearance of both the parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	20.04.2021
32	115/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	20.04.2021
33	116/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD on the ground that previous Notice did not return. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent.</p>	15.04.2021
34	117/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD on the ground that previous Notice did not return. Heard. Permitted.</p>	15.04.2021

		For return of Notice of the Respondent.	
35	118/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD on the ground that previous Notice did not return. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and production of full Account Extract.</p>	15.04.2021
36	119/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and she is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	20.04.2021
37	175/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondents-1 & 2 through SMS and Whatsapp. Heard. Permitted.</p> <p>For return of Notices of the Respondents-1 & 2.</p>	15.04.2021
38	177/2020	<p>Respondents-1 & 2 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time to take steps on the ground that there is talk of compromise. Heard. Time granted.</p> <p>For reporting settlement or fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent-1 as last and ultimate chance.</p>	29.04.2021

39	187/2020	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Settlement not reported.</p> <p>The Petitioner's Counsel is ready for settlement through conciliation. But, the Respondent is absent.</p> <p>For Appearance of both the parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation as last chance.</p>	20.04.2021
40	231/2020	<p>Respondents-1 to 3 are absent.</p> <p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed time to report settlement.</p> <p>For reporting settlement.</p>	20.04.2021

Prepared by: Shivaleela M.G. - 

Verified by: Manjunatha K.N. - 

**BY ORDER OF
The Chairman, Permanent Lok Adalat**


18/03/2021
Sheristedar (I/c)